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Abstract

This study presents the design and optical simulation of a circular trough solar concentrator with a trapezoidal secondary reflector using the SolTrace Software. The circular curve of this concentrator approaches the curve of a parabola with an edge angle of 45°. Due to the spherical aberration, the reflective surface introduces optical errors in such a way that it is necessary to use a second reflective stage that allows most of the rays that fall outside of the receiver to be reflected towards the latter. It is not necessary to obtain an even distribution of the concentrated solar radiation because the application of this solar concentrator is towards the generation of process heat. The ratio of rays intercepted by the cylindrical receiver to those intercepted by the primary reflector was 0.847. This study made a comparison of the collection efficiency according to the slope errors and misalignment for a parabolic trough and a circular trough collector, with and without a trapezoidal secondary reflector.
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1. Introduction

Various processes in industry require heat in a temperature range between 90° C to 250° C (Kalogirou, 2003); solar collectors of medium temperature, such as parabolic troughs (PTC), Compound Parabolic (CPC), and Linear Fresnel (LFC) Collectors, can generate heat within this temperature range. Solar concentrators are optical systems that are subject to errors in their shape, slope, and surface, this causes a portion of the rays reflected by the concentrator not to impact the receiver. In order to increase the concentration or improve the intercept of rays, second stages of reflection are often used.

Mills (1995) presents a comparison via the ray tracing technique of different configurations of second concentration stages. In Singh et al. (1999), a linear fresnel concentrator was developed and the amount of heat absorbed by the used oil was assessed with 10, 15, and 20 mirrors; the absorber was surrounded with trapezoidal mirrors on three sides. Spirkl et al. (1997) presented a numerical optimization of the secondary reflectors for parabolic troughs using an involute and a flat section. Their performance was determined by ray tracing and by taking into account the reflective losses, shading, and the solar shape. In Chemisana et al. (2011), the development of a solar concentrator consisting of a fresnel lens, a CPC secondary concentrator, and a photovoltaic thermal module was presented; the latter was analyzed and characterized both electrically and thermally. Chen and Ho (2013) used an analytical method to obtain the geometrical shape of a secondary
mirror of non-image in the application of photovoltaic with solar concentration. In Cheng et al. (2014), the ray tracing technique was used for the estimation of the concentrated irradiance using secondary reflectors of non-image. Zheng et al. (2014) developed a cylindrical solar concentrator composed of an arc-shaped fresnel lens, a fresnel mirror, and a secondary reflector. The collection efficiency of the concentrator (based on the tracking error and the longitudinal angle of incidence) was obtained using ray tracing along with a comparison with the experimental results. In Rodriguez Sanchez and Rosengarten (2015), a theoretical analysis was made on a parabolic trough with a flat secondary reflector that increased the concentration ratio (up to 80%); ray tracing was conducted to validate the developed equations. The curves of energy received in the receiver, as a function of the misalignment angle for different reflectivities, and the ratio of local concentration in the receiver were obtained. In Abbas et al. (2016) a ray tracing code was developed to compare the efficiencies of the parabolic trough technology against that of the linear Fresnel technology. The results showed that the parabolic trough is more efficient, however, the leveled cost of generation is lower than that of the linear Fresnel system.

This work presents the optical design of a Circular Trough Collector (CTC) with a trapezoidal secondary reflector by making the approximation in the paraxial region of a circular profile with a parabolic profile. It was taken into consideration that the fabrication of a rib with a circular profile is less expensive than a rib with parabolic profile, this is because the rib can be formed using a rolling process. Using the technique of ray tracing, a comparison of the collection efficiency of the circular trough and the parabolic trough is made.

2. Optical design

The PTC is one of the most developed solar concentration technologies, however, it still faces the challenge of cost reduction in its manufacturing with a good optical performance. Conversely, from the manufacturing viewpoint, it is easier to manufacture a circular section rather than a parabolic one, and a section of the circumference can be virtually indistinguishable in the paraxial region of a parabola (Hetch, 2000). Figure 1 shows both geometries. The circular section is given by

\[
x = \frac{y^2}{2R} + \frac{1}{2^2} \frac{y^4}{2!R^3} + \frac{1}{3^2} \frac{3y^6}{3!R^5} + \ldots \quad \text{(eq. 1)}
\]

On the other hand, the normal equation of a parabola with vertex at the origin and whose focus is at a distance \( f \) towards the right is

\[
y^2 = 4fx \quad \text{(eq. 2)}
\]

Comparing equations (1) and (2), one can see that if \( 4f = 2R \) (i.e. \( f = R/2 \)), the first contribution in the series of equation (1) can be considered parabolic while the remaining terms are the deviation. This difference will be noticeable when \( y \) is relatively large in comparison with \( R \).

The circumference introduces aberrations into the concentrated image, therefore, a part of the rays reflected by the circular reflective surface won’t impact the focal region. Because of this, it is necessary to use a secondary reflector that redirects the rays so that most of these impact the cylindrical receiver. Figure 2 shows a diagram of the trapezoidal secondary reflector and receiver, in which the secondary reflector and the glass cover form a cavity. Additionally, Figure 2 shows an outer shell which, aside from giving support to the secondary reflector and receiver, serves as a cover whose interior space is filled with a thermal insulator.
A way to evaluate the optical performance of a solar concentrator is by using the ray tracing technique. This study employed the Soltrace software developed by NREL. The width of the opening of the trapezoidal cavity was determined by taking into account that the image formed in the focal region should be less than the opening, thus ensuring that most of the rays pass through said opening. In addition, an almost right angle between the horizontal and lateral surfaces was used to ensure that the rays reflected by the latter strike on the receiver. The determination of the angle that should have the lateral reflectors must have with respect to the horizontal reflector was conducted in an iterative manner using the Soltrace program. To carry out the ray tracing, data from a parabolic trough developed in (Venegas et al., 2012) were used. The optical properties of the reflective surface can be seen in Table 1.

Tab. 1: Concentrator data used in the ray tracing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circle radius $R$</td>
<td>1.432</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal distance $f$</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheet curve perimeter $S$</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflectance $\rho$</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope error $\sigma_{\text{slope}}$</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>mrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specularity error $\sigma_{\text{ref}}$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>mrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver diameter</td>
<td>0.0254</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture of second reflector</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Ray tracing results

Ray tracing was carried out with the Soltrace software by considering a Gaussian solar flux distribution and the data contained in Table 1. Figure 3 shows the trajectories of the ray tracing, where one can observe that most of the traced rays fall into the cavity that is formed by the secondary reflector. The intercept factor between the receiver and the primary reflector is 0.847, of which 7.8% of the incident rays in the cylindrical receiver are redirected by the trapezoidal secondary reflector. Table 2 shows a comparison of the intercept factor for different studies, where one can observe that the intercept factor was slightly higher in the proposed collector than in other developed collectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collector</th>
<th>Intercept factor</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>11.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Brooks et al., 2005)</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>15.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Coccia et al., 2015)</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>9.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4 shows the radiative flux distribution in the cylindrical receiver. The highest radiative flux density was found at the bottom of the cylindrical receiver (with peak values of approximately 25,000 W/m²). At the top part of the receiver, one can see a slight increase in the radiative flux due to the rays that are redirected by the secondary reflector (with peak values of approximately 5,000 W/m²). It is important to note that in Figure 4, the abscissa is zero at the top of the tube, which means that most of the amount of radiative flux impacts at the bottom part of the receiver.

![Flux distribution in the receiver](image)

The collection efficiency was obtained as a function of the slope error for a specular surface error of 3 mrad. The PTC, the CTC without a reflector, and the CTC with a trapezoidal secondary reflector were compared. It is important to note that, for the circular trough, the receiver was moved so that the opening of the secondary reflector remained in the focus, thus improving the collection efficiency. In Figure 5, one can see that the collection efficiency was greater in both the circular trough without a reflector and in the parabolic trough; however, as the slope error increased, the collection efficiency decreases in a more pronounced manner in these than in the circular trough with a secondary reflector. It is easy to observe that the trapezoidal secondary reflector reduced the optical losses due to the scattering caused by the slope error. For the case in which $\sigma_{\text{slope}} = 10$ mrad, the collection efficiencies of the PTC and CTC were close to 0.5 while the efficiency for the same slope error for the CTC with secondary reflector was 0.62 (i.e. more than 0.1). This implies that the trapezoidal secondary reflector can minimize the optical losses due to scattering caused by slope errors and other types of dispersion (e.g. profile errors).
Figure 6 shows the collection efficiency as a function of the misalignment error angle for the parabolic trough collector, the cylindrical trough, and the cylindrical trough with a secondary reflector. It can be seen that the decreasing slopes were higher for both the PTC and CTC than for the CTC with a secondary reflector. It is important to note that the optical errors from Table 1 were taken into account to obtain this graph (Figure 6).

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 6: Collection efficiency as a function of the misalignment angle**

The collection efficiency appears with a minimal change until the misalignment angle reaches 0.3 degrees; therefore, the tracking error should be less than this value. When the misalignment angle reaches 0.5 degrees, the intercept factor goes down to 0.82, which is relatively close to 0.847 for a 0 degree angle. Even when the misalignment error angle reaches a value of 1, the collection efficiency reaches a value of 0.7 when compared to an approximate value of 0.4 for the other two configurations.

### 4. Conclusions

Ray tracing showed that the circular trough solar concentrator with a trapezoidal secondary reflector has an acceptable collection efficiency when compared to other devices in literature. A contribution of the present
study is to achieve a collection efficiency comparison between a parabolic trough and a substitute (so to speak), which is the CTC with a trapezoidal secondary reflector. The secondary reflector allows to reduce the losses caused by the slope error, which tends to disperse the reflected rays. At the same time, the secondary reflector allowed for a greater average misalignment error than if one only has the receiver. This demonstrated the utility of this system to minimize the dispersion effects, which improved the collection efficiency and therefore the optical efficiency of the solar concentrator under appreciable error conditions. Finally, the thermal losses of the solar concentrator are expected to be reduced than if one only has the bare receiver; this is thanks to the envelope that is formed by the secondary reflector and the glass of its opening.
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